### Mean Hadamard Inequalities & Elasticity

Elliott Sullinge-Farrall

University of Surrey

March 13, 2024

K ロ ▶ K 레 ▶ K 코 ▶ K 코 ▶ 『코 │ ◆ 9 Q Q ↓

# <span id="page-1-0"></span>Table of Contents







K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ | 할 | © 9 Q @

$$
|M|^n \geq C_n |\text{det } M| \qquad \forall M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}
$$

KID KØD KED KED E 1990

where  $C_n = n^{\frac{n}{2}}$  is the optimal constant.

$$
|M|^n \geq C_n |\text{det } M| \qquad \forall M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}
$$

where  $C_n = n^{\frac{n}{2}}$  is the optimal constant.

We then define a mean Hadamard inequality to be of the form

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\mathsf{p}}(\varphi) := \int_{\Omega} \left\vert \nabla \varphi \right\vert^2 + \mathsf{p}(x) \det \nabla \varphi \, \mathrm{d} x \geq 0 \qquad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{V} \subset H^1_0(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)
$$

We seek  $p: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  such that this inequality holds.

$$
|M|^n \geq C_n |\text{det } M| \qquad \forall M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}
$$

where  $C_n = n^{\frac{n}{2}}$  is the optimal constant.

We then define a mean Hadamard inequality to be of the form

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\mathsf{p}}(\varphi) := \int_{\Omega} \left\vert \nabla \varphi \right\vert^2 + \mathsf{p}(x) \det \nabla \varphi \, \mathrm{d} x \geq 0 \qquad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{V} \subset H^1_0(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)
$$

KELK KØLK VELKEN EL 1990

We seek  $p: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  such that this inequality holds.

We call  $\mathbb{E}_{p}$  the excess functional with pressure function p.

$$
|M|^n \geq C_n |\text{det } M| \qquad \forall M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}
$$

where  $C_n = n^{\frac{n}{2}}$  is the optimal constant.

We then define a mean Hadamard inequality to be of the form

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\mathsf{p}}(\varphi) := \int_{\Omega} \left\vert \nabla \varphi \right\vert^2 + \mathsf{p}(x) \det \nabla \varphi \, \mathrm{d} x \geq 0 \qquad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{V} \subset H^1_0(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)
$$

We seek  $p: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  such that this inequality holds.

We call  $\mathbb{E}_{p}$  the excess functional with pressure function p. From now on, we will consider only the case of  $n = 2$  dimensions. In general, we find that the "size" of p is not important,

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ | 할 | © 9 Q @

KO K K Ø K K E K K E K V K K K K K K K K K

In particular, the excess is translation invariant w.r.t pressure:

$$
\mathbb{E}_{p+p_0}=\mathbb{E}_p \qquad \forall p_0 \in \mathbb{R}
$$

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ | 할 | © 9 Q @

In particular, the excess is translation invariant w.r.t pressure:

$$
\mathbb{E}_{p+p_0}=\mathbb{E}_p \qquad \forall p_0 \in \mathbb{R}
$$

**KORKARYKERKER POLO** 

This can be used to obtain our first result:

Sufficient Condition (Bounded Pressure)

If  $|p - p_{\Omega}|_{\infty} \leq 2$ , then  $\mathbb{E}_{p} \geq 0$ .

In particular, the excess is translation invariant w.r.t pressure:

$$
\mathbb{E}_{p+p_0}=\mathbb{E}_p \qquad \forall p_0 \in \mathbb{R}
$$

This can be used to obtain our first result:

Sufficient Condition (Bounded Pressure)

If  $|p - p_{\Omega}|_{\infty} \leq 2$ , then  $\mathbb{E}_{p} \geq 0$ .

We finally note that, since  $\mathbb{E}_{p}$  is degree 2 homogeneous, non-negativity is equvalent to existence of a global minimiser.

**KORKAR KERKER SAGA** 

We now switch perspective to that of elasticity. Consider deformations  $u\in H^1_{\omega_0}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^2)$  of some flat material  $\Omega.$ 

We now switch perspective to that of elasticity. Consider deformations  $u\in H^1_{\omega_0}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^2)$  of some flat material  $\Omega.$ 

The elastic energy of such a deformation is given by the Dirichlet energy functional

$$
\mathbb{D}(u) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x
$$

We now switch perspective to that of elasticity. Consider deformations  $u\in H^1_{\omega_0}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^2)$  of some flat material  $\Omega.$ 

The elastic energy of such a deformation is given by the Dirichlet energy functional

$$
\mathbb{D}(u) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x
$$

Principle of least action tells us that the observed deformation will minimise this energy:

$$
\Delta u = 0
$$
  

$$
u|_{\partial \Omega} = u_0
$$

**KORKARYKERKER POLO** 

We now switch perspective to that of elasticity. Consider deformations  $u\in H^1_{\omega_0}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^2)$  of some flat material  $\Omega.$ 

The elastic energy of such a deformation is given by the Dirichlet energy functional

$$
\mathbb{D}(u) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x
$$

Principle of least action tells us that the observed deformation will minimise this energy:

$$
\Delta u = 0
$$
  

$$
u|_{\partial \Omega} = u_0
$$

However, in general, these minimisers will not be mass conserving:

$$
\det \nabla u \neq 1
$$

**KORKARYKERKER POLO** 

We now introduce the space of mass conserving admissibles:

$$
\mathcal{A} = \{u \in H^1_{u_0}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^2) : \det \nabla u = \det \nabla u_0 = 1\}
$$

We now introduce the space of mass conserving admissibles:

$$
\mathcal{A} = \{u \in H^1_{u_0}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^2) : \det \nabla u = \det \nabla u_0 = 1\}
$$

We consider additive variations of  $u_0$  in this (non-linear) space, so we require  $V$  such that

$$
\varphi \in \mathcal{V} \quad \Rightarrow \quad u_0 + \varphi \in \mathcal{A}
$$

K ロ ▶ K 個 ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ 이 할 → 9 Q Q →

We now introduce the space of mass conserving admissibles:

$$
\mathcal{A} = \{u \in H^1_{u_0}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^2) : \det \nabla u = \det \nabla u_0 = 1\}
$$

We consider additive variations of  $u_0$  in this (non-linear) space, so we require  $V$  such that

$$
\varphi \in \mathcal{V} \quad \Rightarrow \quad u_0 + \varphi \in \mathcal{A}
$$

We find that

$$
\mathcal{V}=\{\varphi\in H^1_0(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^2): \text{det}\,\nabla\varphi=-\,\text{cof}\,\nabla u_0\cdot\nabla\varphi\}
$$

K ロ ▶ K 個 ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ 이 할 → 9 Q Q →

# Derivation of the Excess

We then find that

$$
\mathbb{D}(u_0 + \varphi) = \mathbb{D}(u_0) + \mathbb{E}_{\mathsf{p}}(\varphi) \qquad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{V}
$$
  
where p solves  $\Delta u_0 + \frac{1}{2} \text{cof}(\nabla u_0) \nabla \mathsf{p} = 0$ .

**Kロトメ部トメミトメミト ミニのRC** 

### Derivation of the Excess

We then find that

$$
\mathbb{D}(u_0 + \varphi) = \mathbb{D}(u_0) + \mathbb{E}_{p}(\varphi) \qquad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{V}
$$

K ロ ▶ K 個 ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ 이 할 → 9 Q Q →

where p solves  $\Delta u_0 + \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}$  cof $(\nabla u_0)\nabla p = 0$ .

Hence  $\mathbb{E}_{p} \geq 0$  is equivalent to the minimisation of the elastic energy (w.r.t to these variations).

Convexity of the Excess

The ease of minimising the Dirichlet energy is largely due to the fact that it is convex.

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ | 할 | © 9 Q @

The ease of minimising the Dirichlet energy is largely due to the fact that it is convex.

The excess, however, is only **polyconvex**.

Polyconvexity (2D)

A function  $f:\mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}\to\mathbb{R}$  is polyconvex if there exists convex  $g:\mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}\times\mathbb{R}\rightarrow\mathbb{R}$  such that

 $f(M) = g(M, \det M)$ 

**KORKARYKERKER POLO** 

The ease of minimising the Dirichlet energy is largely due to the fact that it is convex.

The excess, however, is only **polyconvex**.

Polyconvexity (2D)

A function  $f:\mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}\to\mathbb{R}$  is polyconvex if there exists convex  $g:\mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}\times\mathbb{R}\rightarrow\mathbb{R}$  such that

 $f(M) = g(M, \det M)$ 

There are existing DM type results for polyconvex functionals but  $\mathbb{E}_{p}$  does not meet the growth conditions for them to be applied.

**KORKARYKERKER POLO** 

The ease of minimising the Dirichlet energy is largely due to the fact that it is convex.

The excess, however, is only **polyconvex**.

Polyconvexity (2D)

A function  $f:\mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}\to\mathbb{R}$  is polyconvex if there exists convex  $g:\mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}\times\mathbb{R}\rightarrow\mathbb{R}$  such that

 $f(M) = g(M, \det M)$ 

There are existing DM type results for polyconvex functionals but  $\mathbb{E}_{p}$  does not meet the growth conditions for them to be applied.

This motivates the search for novel techniques to analyse these functionals.

# <span id="page-24-0"></span>Table of Contents









J.Bevan, M.Kružík and J.Valdman have considered piecewise constant p.

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ | 할 | © 9 Q @

J.Bevan, M.Kružík and J.Valdman have considered piecewise constant p.

If we consider two state pressure on a square domain  $\Omega = [-1, +1]^2$ 

 $\mathsf{p} = c \chi_\Omega$   $\Omega' \subset \Omega$  with suff. boundary regularity

**KORKARYKERKER POLO** 

then  $\mathbb{E}_p \geq 0$  iff  $|c| \leq 2C_2 = 4$ .

J.Bevan, M.Kružík and J.Valdman have considered piecewise constant p.

If we consider two state pressure on a square domain  $\Omega = [-1, +1]^2$ 

 $\mathsf{p} = c \chi_\Omega$   $\Omega' \subset \Omega$  with suff. boundary regularity

then  $\mathbb{E}_p > 0$  iff  $|c| < 2C_2 = 4$ .

They also considered three state pressure with either 'insulation' or 'point-contact'.

**KORKARYKERKER POLO** 

# 'Window' vs. 'Grid'



Above we have an example of a 'window' layout pressure function.

On the right is an example of a 'grid' layout pressure function.



K ロ ▶ K 個 ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ ( 할 → ) 익 Q Q

$$
c \leq 2\sqrt{1+\gamma_0} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathbb{E}_{\mathsf{p}} \geq 0
$$

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ | 할 | © 9 Q @

$$
\mathsf{c} \le 2\sqrt{1+\gamma_0} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathbb{E}_{\mathsf{p}} \ge 0
$$

K ロ ▶ K 個 ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ 이 할 → 9 Q Q →

It can also be shown that  $\mathbb{E}_{p} \geq 0$  can not be achieved for arbitrarily small insulation width.

$$
\mathsf{c} \le 2\sqrt{1+\gamma_0} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathbb{E}_{\mathsf{p}} \ge 0
$$

It can also be shown that  $\mathbb{E}_{p} \geq 0$  can not be achieved for arbitrarily small insulation width.

For the 'grid' layout, we have

$$
|c| \leq \sqrt{8} \approx 2.83 \quad \iff \quad \mathbb{E}_{p} \geq 0
$$

K ロ ▶ K 個 ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ 이 할 → 9 Q Q →

$$
\mathsf{c} \le 2\sqrt{1+\gamma_0} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathbb{E}_{\mathsf{p}} \ge 0
$$

It can also be shown that  $\mathbb{E}_{p} \geq 0$  can not be achieved for arbitrarily small insulation width.

For the 'grid' layout, we have

$$
|c| \leq \sqrt{8} \approx 2.83 \quad \iff \quad \mathbb{E}_{p} \geq 0
$$

K ロ ▶ K 個 ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ 이 할 → 이익 @

There are also partial results for finer grids.

#### Perhaps the next most simple case to consider is  $\Omega = B$  and

$$
p(r) = cr \qquad r = |x|
$$

**Kロトメ部トメミトメミト ミニのQC** 

Perhaps the next most simple case to consider is  $\Omega = B$  and

$$
p(r) = cr \qquad r = |x|
$$

For reference purposes, we calculate

$$
p_B = \frac{2}{3}c \Rightarrow |p - p_B|_{\infty} = \frac{2}{3}c
$$

K ロ ▶ K 個 ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ 이 할 → 이익 @

so  $|c| \leq 3$  is sufficient for  $\mathbb{E}_{p} \geq 0$ .

Perhaps the next most simple case to consider is  $\Omega = B$  and

$$
p(r) = cr \qquad r = |x|
$$

For reference purposes, we calculate

$$
p_B = \frac{2}{3}c \Rightarrow |p - p_B|_{\infty} = \frac{2}{3}c
$$

K ロ ▶ K 個 ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ 이 할 → 이익 @

so  $|c| \leq 3$  is sufficient for  $\mathbb{E}_p \geq 0$ .

Can we obtain a mean Hadamard inequality with  $|c| > 3$ ?

## Fourier Splitting

We shall start by writing  $\varphi$  as a Fourtier series:

$$
\varphi = \sum_{j\geq 0} \varphi^{(j)} = \frac{1}{2} A_0(r) + \sum_{j>0} A_j(r) \cos(j\theta) + B_j(r) \sin(j\theta)
$$

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ | 할 | © 9 Q @

## Fourier Splitting

We shall start by writing  $\varphi$  as a Fourtier series:

$$
\varphi = \sum_{j\geq 0} \varphi^{(j)} = \frac{1}{2} A_0(r) + \sum_{j>0} A_j(r) \cos(j\theta) + B_j(r) \sin(j\theta)
$$

We then observe that the excess splits over the modes in the following way:

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\mathsf{p}}(\varphi) = \sum_{j\geq 0} \int_{B} \left| \varphi_{,r}^{(j)} \right|^{2} + \left| \varphi_{,r}^{(j)} \right|^{2} + \frac{c}{2} \varphi_{,r}^{(j)} \times \varphi^{(j)} \, \mathrm{d}x
$$

K ロ ▶ K 個 ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ 이 할 → 9 Q Q →

### Fourier Splitting

We shall start by writing  $\varphi$  as a Fourtier series:

$$
\varphi = \sum_{j\geq 0} \varphi^{(j)} = \frac{1}{2} A_0(r) + \sum_{j>0} A_j(r) \cos(j\theta) + B_j(r) \sin(j\theta)
$$

We then observe that the excess splits over the modes in the following way:

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\mathsf{p}}(\varphi) = \sum_{j\geq 0} \int_{B} \left| \varphi_{,r}^{(j)} \right|^{2} + \left| \varphi_{,r}^{(j)} \right|^{2} + \frac{c}{2} \varphi_{,r}^{(j)} \times \varphi^{(j)} \, \mathrm{d}x
$$

We note that, if we replaced  $\varphi_{,r}^{(j)}$  with  $\varphi^{(j)},$  we would have something that resembles a quadratic form in the integrand.

# Weighted Poincaré Inequality

We now make use of the following result, a corollary of a weighted Poincaré inequality:

Poincaré Inequality for Modes

Denote by  $j_0$  the first zero of the Bessel J function. Then

$$
\int_{B} \left| \varphi^{(j)} \right|^2 dx \le \frac{1}{j_0^2} \int_{B} \left| \varphi^{(j)}_{,r} \right|^2 dx \qquad \forall j \ge 1
$$

**KORK EXTERNE PROVIDE** 

where the inequality is sharp.

### Weighted Poincaré Inequality

We now make use of the following result, a corollary of a weighted Poincaré inequality:

Poincaré Inequality for Modes

Denote by  $i_0$  the first zero of the Bessel J function. Then

$$
\int_{B} \left| \varphi^{(j)} \right|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \le \frac{1}{j_0^2} \int_{B} \left| \varphi^{(j)}_{,r} \right|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \qquad \forall j \ge 1
$$

where the inequality is sharp.

This allows us to write

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\mathsf{p}}(\varphi) \geq \sum_{j\geq 0} \int_{B} \mathsf{v}^{(j)} \cdot M(c) \mathsf{v}^{(j)} \,\mathrm{d} x \qquad \mathsf{v}^{(j)} = \left( \begin{vmatrix} \varphi^{(j)} \\ \varphi^{(j)}_{,\tau} \end{vmatrix} \right)
$$

**KORK EXTERNE PROVIDE** 

The matrix for the quadratic form is

$$
M(c) = \begin{pmatrix} j_0^2 & -\frac{c}{4} \\ -\frac{c}{4} & 1 \end{pmatrix}
$$

KO K K Ø K K E K K E K V K K K K K K K K K

The matrix for the quadratic form is

$$
M(c) = \begin{pmatrix} j_0^2 & -\frac{c}{4} \\ -\frac{c}{4} & 1 \end{pmatrix}
$$

We compute

$$
tr M = 1 + j_0^2
$$
 det  $M = j_0^2 - \left(\frac{c}{4}\right)^2$ 

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ | 할 | © 9 Q @

The matrix for the quadratic form is

$$
M(c) = \begin{pmatrix} j_0^2 & -\frac{c}{4} \\ -\frac{c}{4} & 1 \end{pmatrix}
$$

We compute

$$
tr M = 1 + j_0^2
$$
 det  $M = j_0^2 - (\frac{c}{4})^2$ 

Hence, we have

 $c \leq 4j_0 \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{p}}(\varphi) \geq 0$ 

K ロ ▶ K 個 ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ 이 할 → 이익 @

For reference,  $\frac{2}{3} \times 4j_0 \approx 6.41$ 

# Necessary Conditions

In general, obtaining tight necessary conditions is difficult.

K ロ ▶ K 個 ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ 이 할 → 9 Q Q →

K ロ ▶ K 個 ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ 이 할 → 9 Q Q →

We will seek out a  $\varphi$  such that, as we increase c, the excess eventually becomes negative.

**KORK ERKER ADAM ADA** 

We will seek out a  $\varphi$  such that, as we increase c, the excess eventually becomes negative.

The 'better' our choice of  $\varphi$ , the tighter our bounds will be.

**KORKARYKERKER POLO** 

We will seek out a  $\varphi$  such that, as we increase c, the excess eventually becomes negative.

The 'better' our choice of  $\varphi$ , the tighter our bounds will be.

Inspired by the sharpness of the pointwise Hadamard inequality, we consider  $\varphi$  satisfying the PDI:

> $\nabla \varphi \in O(2)$  $\varphi|_{\partial B} = 0$

It is known that there is a dense solution space in  $W^{1,\infty}$  and that every solution is piecewise affine.KID KA KERKER KID KO

**KORKARYKERKER POLO** 

In the gaps between these rescaled squares, we just take  $\varphi = 0$ .

KELK KØLK VELKEN EL 1990

In the gaps between these rescaled squares, we just take  $\varphi = 0$ . This gives a solution to the PDI on B.

**KORKARYKERKER POLO** 

In the gaps between these rescaled squares, we just take  $\varphi = 0$ . This gives a solution to the PDI on B.

We then compute the excess in terms of  $c$  and calculate the value of c for which this becomes negative.

**KORKARYKERKER POLO** 

In the gaps between these rescaled squares, we just take  $\varphi = 0$ . This gives a solution to the PDI on B.

We then compute the excess in terms of  $c$  and calculate the value of c for which this becomes negative.

We will obtain different thresholds for different arrangements of the squares.

4 0 > 4 4 + 4 = + 4 = + = + + 0 4 0 +

## Construction on a Square

The solution on the square is plotted below:



イロト イ部 トイ君 トイ君 ト

 $\mathbb{B}$ 

 $299$ 

K ロ ▶ K 個 ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ 이 할 → 9 Q Q →

- **1** Center  $(+0.1, +0.3)$  and width 1.0.
- **2** Center (-0.3, -0.5) and width 0.6.

**KORKARYKERKER POLO** 

- **1** Center  $(+0.1, +0.3)$  and width 1.0.
- **2** Center (-0.3, -0.5) and width 0.6.

This gives a necessary condition of  $|c| \le 148.45$ .

- **1** Center  $(+0.1, +0.3)$  and width 1.0.
- **2** Center (-0.3, -0.5) and width 0.6.

This gives a necessary condition of  $|c| \le 148.45$ .

Note that any symmetric arrangement will not yield a finite upper bound.

**KORKARYKERKER POLO** 

# <span id="page-59-0"></span>Table of Contents







K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ | 할 | © 9 Q @

K ロ ▶ K 個 ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ 이 할 → 9 Q Q →

More advanced numerical techniques could reduce the gap between sufficient and necessary bounds.

**KORK ERKER ADAM ADA** 

More advanced numerical techniques could reduce the gap between sufficient and necessary bounds.

Currently working on radially logarithmic pressure ( $p \in BMO$ ) that arise from  $u_0$  being a covering map.

**KORKARYKERKER POLO** 

More advanced numerical techniques could reduce the gap between sufficient and necessary bounds.

Currently working on radially logarithmic pressure ( $p \in BMO$ ) that arise from  $u_0$  being a covering map.

How does  $u_0$  cause radial symmetry in p? What about regularity?